

## REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 3-6, 8, 11 and 20 are amended. No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry of the amended claims are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 7, 10 and 17 are cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 3-6, 8-9, 11, 13, and 20 are pending and under consideration. Reconsideration is requested.

### **Items 6-7: Allowable Subject Matter.**

In items 6-7 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that claim 13 is allowed and that claims 8, 9, and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. §112, 1st paragraph and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. (See, Action at page 10, lines 1-5).

Applicants thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

### **Claim Amendments**

Claims 8 and 11 are amended herein to be rewritten in independent form and include all of the limitations of respective base claims 1 and 10 and any intervening claim. Claims 3-6 are amended accordingly.

Claim 20 is amended herein to include features of dependent claim 8 and intervening claim 7, which the Examiner indicated recite allowable subject matter.

Claims 8, 11, and 20 are further amended herein to include the recitation as suggested by the Examiner in item 2, page 2 of the Office Action, as further discussed below.

No new matter is being presented, and approval and entry of the amended claims are respectfully.

### **Item 2: Rejection of claims 8, 9, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph**

In item 2, of the Office Action, the Examiner asserts that the feature of:

Identifier to a user session being a unique number and set as the source port number of the mobile terminal . . . and setting the unique source port number as a source port number of a packet header. . . is critical or essential to the practice of the invention.

(See, Action at page 2, lines 12-19).

Claims 8 and 11 are amended herein to include the recitation as suggested by the Examiner. Claim 9 is dependent on claim 8.

Applicants submit claims 8, 9, and 11 comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

**Conclusion**

Thus, withdrawal of the rejection to claims 8, 9, and 11 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph is requested.

**Items 4-5: Traverse of Rejection of claims 3-6 and 20**

In items 4-5 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 3-6 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No. US 2001/0055285 AI ("Tomoike") in view of combinations of Pub. No. US 2008/0201488 AI ("Kenner") and Pub. No. US 2005/0027506 AI ("Kerr"). (See, Action at pages 3-9). The rejections are traversed.

Independent claim 20 is amended herein to include features of dependent claim 8 and intervening claim 7, which the Examiner has indicated as reciting allowable subject matter. Independent claim 20 is also amended herein to address concerns the Examiner expressed regarding claims 8, 9, and 11.

Claims 3-6 are amended herein to depend from claim 8 that is rewritten in independent form herein and found to recite allowable matter.

**Conclusion**

Thus, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 3-6 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is requested.

**Conclusion**

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: December 11, 2006

By: Paul W. Bobowiec  
Paul W. Bobowiec  
Registration No. 47,431

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501